

One study. Two sources.

A planned workflow for comparing protocol intent with reported study outcomes, while retaining the evidence and context behind each value.

01 Start with a precise question

How does the enrollment target in a specific protocol version compare with reported actual enrollment for the same study?

PROTOCOL

An identified document version

Stated enrollment target
Page and source region
Version, population and date

REGISTRY

A dated study-record snapshot

Reported actual enrollment
Record and field reference
Snapshot, population and date

A REVIEWABLE COMPARISON

Match the study identifier. Preserve context. Surface missing or conflicting evidence.

An amended protocol is not proof of the original target. A meaningful comparison must name the versions, populations, denominators and dates on both sides.

02 Then explore scenarios, with assumptions visible

Later development will examine enrollment, screening and visit-burden scenarios over a pinned evidence base. Assumptions, sensitivity ranges and validation evidence must accompany model outputs; hypothetical results remain separate from observed records.

DEVELOPMENT USE CASE

This is an intended workflow. No end-to-end demo or validated simulator is available yet.

